

Philippine Natural Resources Law Journal

Review Guide

1. Initial Quality Check

Your manuscript is undergoing preliminary quality checks by the Editorial Production Assistant. At this stage, you may be asked to provide additional details before it proceeds to peer review.

2. Editor Assignment Pending

Your submission has passed initial screening and is awaiting assignment to an Editor. The Editor will assess its alignment with the journal's scope, academic quality, and relevance. Submissions that do not meet these standards may be declined.

3. Reviewer Selection Phase

Your article has been approved for peer review. The Editorial Team is identifying qualified external reviewers with expertise in your field. An Associate Editor may also conduct an internal review. Typically, a minimum of two independent reviews are required, and multiple reviewers may be contacted to secure participation.

4. Under Peer Review

The required number of reviewers have accepted your manuscript for evaluation. Reviewers are allotted two weeks to submit their feedback. If a reviewer withdraws, the Editorial Team will restart the selection process to ensure thorough assessment.

5. Editorial Decision Pending

The Editor is evaluating the completed peer reviews to determine the next steps. Based on reviewer feedback, your manuscript may be accepted, rejected, or returned for revisions.

6. Production Phase

Your accepted manuscript will undergo final quality checks before publication. A Production Editor will contact you with a timeline and updates on its progress.

Other information

Processing Timeframes

Peer review timelines depend on reviewer availability, as participation is voluntary. Delays may occur if reviewers decline invitations or require replacement.

Transparency Policy

PhilNaJur ensures accountability by disclosing each article's provenance (commissioned/non-commissioned) and peer review method (external or internal). Internally reviewed articles are evaluated by the journal's editorial team.